

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/537,810	Applicant(s) ICHIKAWA ET AL.		
	Examiner GORDON R. BALDWIN	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Art Unit 1794 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1794	
Art Unit 1794				

All Participants:

(1) GORDON R. BALDWIN.

(2) Moshe Wilensky.

Date of Interview: 5 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:30 AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Method claims 28-36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Applicant was asked to cancel claims 28-36 due to their status as method claims for the allowance of the article claims 19-27 and 37 since the method claims were not commensurate in scope with the article claims.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Gordon R Baldwin/
Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)